

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

)					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,133	11/04/2001	Jenq-Neng Hwang	HMTG03	1017	
75	90 12/16/2004		EXAM	INER	
Jenq-Neng Hwang 18005 N.E. 68th St., Suite A101			RIVERO, MINERVA		
Redmond, WA	98052		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2655		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

JAN 0 3 2005

Technology Center 2600

	r-,,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/014,133	HWANG ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Minerva Rivero	2655		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)		
- aper No(s) intali Date	-,			

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The terms "most important" and "less influential" in claims 1 and 2, respectively, are relative terms that render the claim indefinite. The terms "most important" and "less influential are not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The Examiner has interpreted the terms to define the frequency range relevant to speech (i.e. 250 Hz 6 KHz) and will further treat the aforementioned claims on the merits.

Art Unit: 2655

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker *et al.* (US Patent 5,890,109) in view of Dubnowski *et al.* (US Patent 4,015,088).
- 6. Regarding claim 1, Walker et al. disclose

means (adaptive threshold determination) to adaptively update the silence threshold value by incorporating the new background signal magnitude (*classifier reacts* to changes in ambient background, Col. 8, Line 57 – Col. 9, Line 17).

While Walker *et al.* disclose means to measure uttered speech (Col. 5, Lines 22-27), they do not explicitly disclose measuring the most important portion of speech. However, Dubnowski *et al.* disclose means to measure the most important portion of uttered speech (*frequencies of interest*, Col. 5, Lines 50-54).

Art Unit: 2655

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Walker *et al.* by measuring the most important portion of uttered speech as taught by Dubnowski *et al.* in order to avoid processing irrelevant data that could lead to false speech detection.

1. Regarding claim 2, Walker *et al.* do not explicitly disclose but Dubnowski *et al.* do disclose techniques to low pass the speech signal so as to remove the less influential high-frequency of speech for an effective calculation of speech magnitude (Col. 5, Lines 50-54; Col. 16, Lines 6-9).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Walker *et al.* with *low-passing* the speech signal to remove the less influential high-frequency component of speech for an effective calculation of speech magnitude as taught by Dubnowski *et al.* to avoid processing irrelevant data that could lead to false speech detection.

2. Regarding claim 4, Walker et al. disclose

the temporal variation of calculated speech magnitude (*sample magnitude*, Col. 5, Lines 22-27; *computing the mean energy values of silent and non-silent frames and storing the past values*, Col. 7, Line 64 – Col. 8, Line 22). The temporal variation (*energy tau*) is used in the determination of a silent or non-silent frame (speech frame).

Art Unit: 2655

3. Regarding claim 5, Walker et al. disclose

techniques to update the silence threshold value by incorporating the temporal variations of speech magnitude (computing mean values for frame energy using the previous N frames, classifier reacts to changes in the background conditions, Col. 8, Line 57 – Col. 9, Line 17; thresholds are dynamically generated, Col. 11, Lines 3-10; reinitializing thresholds after a certain number of frames, Col. 12, Lines 3-7).

4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (US Patent 5,890,109) in view of Dubnowski et al. (US Patent 4,015,088), as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Gersho et al. (US 2001/0023396).

The combined teachings of Walker *et al.* and Dubnowski *et al.* do not explicitly disclose but Gersho *et al.* do disclose techniques for removing the DC component of the speech signal, which is commonly microphone dependent, for an effective calculation of speech magnitude ([0154] Lines 3-5; [0062] Lines 8-10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art at the time of the invention to supplement the teachings of Walker *et al.* with techniques to remove the DC component of the speech signal, which is commonly microphone dependent, for an effective calculation of speech magnitude, as taught by Gersho *et al.*

Art Unit: 2655

in order to capture only the frequencies of interest regarding speech and avoid misleading speech or silence detection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Walker et al. (US Patent 5,978,756) disclose a method of detecting and processing silent periods in a speech signal where silence-detection thresholds are updated after a certain number or silence frames have been detected.

Sewall *et al.* (US Patent 6,708,146) disclose a method of classifying speech signals using two determination stages comprising linear and non-linear discriminant functions.

Art Unit: 2655

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minerva Rivero whose telephone number is (703) 605-4377. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Talivaldis Ivars Smits can be reached on (703) 305-9508. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MR 12/08/2004

TALIVALDIS IVARS SMITS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. 10/014,133 Examiner Minerva Rivero Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination HWANG ET AL. Page 1 of 1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-5,890,109	03-1999	Walker et al.	704/215
	В	US-4,015,088	03-1977	Dubnowski et al.	704/208
	С	US-2001/0023396 A1	09-2001	Gersho et al.	704/220
-	D	US-5,832,424	11-1998	Tsutsui, Kyoya	704/200.1
	Ε	US-6,708,146	03-2004	Sewall et al.	704/217
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*	_	Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					44.
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	x	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Organization IC2600 Bldg./Roon K2
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

Control of the state of the sta